If you’re looking at bonds as an investment opportunity, you may want to think again. That’s especially true if you are a fiduciary of a defined contribution (DC) pension plan or group registered retirement savings plan and you now have more defined responsibilities thanks to the Joint Forum of Market Regulators’ Guidelines for Capital Accumulation Plans (CAP).1

That’s because bond investments are not easy to understand. According to a May 2004 survey by SEI Investments, DC Pension Plan Members: Needs & Knowledge,2 too many plan members don’t really understand their retirement plans, let alone how the investments work. Bond funds are likely the most complicated investment option they may have.

Mention a bond fund to many plan members and the first image they get is that of a Canada Savings Bond with the expected guaranteed rate of return. But bond fund returns are far from guaranteed—just ask the investment managers that held the AT&T Canada bonds, valued at more than $4.6 billion back in October 2002. Some managers lost more than 1 percent of the value of their total bond portfolios because AT&T Canada defaulted on its debt.

The construction and operation of a bond fund is something that is not well understood by many CAP investors. How the portfolio is constructed based on durations, quality ratings, spreads between governments versus corporates, etc., are all types of information that are rarely disseminated to the individual plan member.

Why? Possibly because communicating about a pension plan involves a lot of detail and this information may just confuse the matter. With the CAP guidelines in play, fiduciaries have a responsibility to at least try. It makes it even more challenging for those plans that offer multiple bond options; if plan members don’t understand how a generic bond fund works, how will they determine which is most appropriate from a list of three or four?

**Strong Performance**

For the past 15 years, bonds have provided great returns with the index averaging 9.4 percent per year over that period. For the period ending December 31, 2005, the bond index has provided positive returns 14 of the 16 periods. In fact, bonds have outperformed Canadian equities in eight of those years.

Given the bond market’s golden run, it is not surprising to hear that plan members expect more of the same. But they don’t understand where a big part of the solid performance came from—mainly interest rates. Over the past 10 years, yields for the Canadian Government 10-year bond have dropped from approximately 6.4 percent to 4.2 percent.

How much of an increase in interest rates do you need to make a material impact on the return of a bond portfolio? That depends on things such as the duration of the portfolio; a 1-percent change in interest rates will have approximately twice the impact on a 25-year bond as it does on a 10-year bond.

Which way are interest rates going in the future? Only David Dodge, the governor of the Bank of Canada, knows. The Bank recently increased the overnight rate by 25 basis points to 4.75 percent. As important as these rate changes are, the Bank of Canada controls the overnight lending rate to the chartered banks while the interest rates affecting bond funds are the longer-

### TABLE 1 Canadian Bond Returns for the Period Ending May 31, 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND NAME</th>
<th>1-MONTH RETURN</th>
<th>3-MONTH RETURN</th>
<th>YTD RETURN</th>
<th>1-YEAR RETURN</th>
<th>2-YEAR RETURN</th>
<th>3-YEAR RETURN</th>
<th>4-YEAR RETURN</th>
<th>5-YEAR RETURN</th>
<th>10-YEAR RETURN</th>
<th>15-YEAR RETURN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Canadian Bond</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Canadian Bond</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotia Capital Universe</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Principia for pooled funds
term rates (i.e., 10+ years), which are determined by the markets.

Bob Sanderson, vice president and director at Lincluden Investment Management in Oakville, Ontario, points out that interest rates are largely dependent on inflation. If inflation is rising, interest rates will tend to rise. Conversely, if inflation is falling, as has been the case in the past decade, interest rates tend to fall. This has resulted in interest rates hovering around 40-year lows.

If over the next couple of years interest rates increase, this will have a negative impact on bond returns, possibly surprising many CAP plan members. And CAP members are not the only ones at risk here. Certain foundations have more than 90 percent of their assets in fixed income. Defined benefit pension committees also should observe the duration of their bond investments and how they relate to the duration of the plan’s liabilities. If the duration of the two are matched, then movement in interest rates will have a proportionately similar impact on the liabilities as it does on the assets.

What’s the solution? Education. Plan members need to understand the characteristics of their investments and what they should expect given different external scenarios. Plan sponsors, as fiduciaries, are expected to help plan members understand their investment options. And that includes warning them that one day the bond train might come to a screeching halt.

Gord Lewis is vice president at Proteus Performance Management, Inc., in Toronto. He earned a B.A. at the University of Western Ontario and an M.B.A. at Queens University. Contact him at glewis@proteusperformance.com.

Endnotes

**IMCA® IN THE NEWS**

**ARTICLES**
The Bank Investment Consultant magazine’s 12-part training series highlighting lessons from an IMCA certificate course continues. The April issue featured “Balancing Risk and Return,” which reviewed various methods of quantifying investment risk. The June issue featured “Modern Portfolio Theory.” This article is the first of a two-part educational experience describing theories and techniques for managing investment portfolios.

**BLOGS**

**MEDIA COVERAGE**
March 27, *InvestmentNews*—“The editorial, “State licensing could be investors’ gain,” reported that “state licensing of financial or investment advisers may be coming, but its arrival no doubt will be delayed by intense lobbying on both sides of the issue . . . The key to successful state licensing programs will be for the state to develop standards that require proof of a meaningful amount of training before a license is granted. Such standards could accept the planning designations provided by the Certified Financial Planner Board of Standards, Inc., in Denver, the accounting profession, and the insurance industry, for a start. They also could accept the designations offered by the Investment Management Consultants Association, Inc., Greenwood Village, CO.”

May 1, *Business Wire*—“IXIS Asset Management Advisors, L.P. (IXIS) announced today that it has launched the Active China Strategy, an index-based separate account strategy focused on the rapidly growing Chinese economy. The Active solution, which is built on an innovative Managed ETF Portfolio (MEP) platform, was introduced today at the Investment Management Consultant Association’s Spring 2006 conference. It will be accessible primarily through investment advisors and has a $50,000 investment minimum.”

May 8, *InvestmentNews*—“Membership pressing IMCA to initiate outreach campaign—group seeks alliances, more members, higher visibility,” quoted several IMCA board members, members, and staff during the Spring Professional Development Conference in Orlando. Jeff Thomas, president, IMCA Board of Directors, said: “We’re increasing our exposure with centers of influence with potential new clients . . . It all starts with a personal relationship.” IMCA Executive Director Edythe McClatchy Pahl added, “Members feel IMCA has been a well-kept secret for 20 years, and they’d like to get the message out.”

May 10, *Wall Street Journal*—“Analyst Designation Attracts Students” reported that “the thirst for knowledge primarily is being driven by the growing popularity of hedge funds with more mainstream investors, lured by the attraction of superior performance . . . Last year
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